



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12401
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FLUE GAS TREATMENT UNIT FOR PACKAGED GASOLINE GENERATORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper does not have introduction. Each paper should have introduction along with enough references. In addition, I believe 12 references are few for such a paper. The author could use lots of available papers in its field of study. I suggest introduction be added to the paper prior to publishing and the coherency be rechecked since some parts (specially the titles of different sections) are not coherent.	Introduction has been added and the references have been beefed up. The non-coherency issue has been addressed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are several grammatical and English fluency problems in the paper. As a clear example "U.s" if it means the United States should be written as "U.S." and this word is regularly mentioned. There are several examples like this, so I suggest the paper be edited by a native or some English expert editors prior to publishing.	The paper has been edited for grammatical errors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, the paper is acceptable if the following are looked into: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction is added to the paper. 2. The paper is checked for grammar and vocabulary problems. 3. More reliable resources are used in the paper. 	Introduction has been added. Grammar and vocabulary problems have been addressed. More reliable references have been added