#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13050
Title of the Manuscript:	Research as Stories: a subjective academic narrative
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that  $\underline{NO}$  manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	<ol> <li>There are some unknown vocabularies in the text for me like "anaborescent" in line 48, hope the author check again the text, if he/she had to use these words, it is better add an explanation in Parenthesis</li> <li>Usually in abstract the author directly explains about the subject, the goals, the method and avoid to mention the other's opinion and the references</li> <li>The references should be written in the same format in the text as the usual "(author, year: page)".</li> <li>Each article has "Conclusions" Part.</li> <li>Edit lines 237 and 292-296 and omit line 322</li> </ol>	
Optional/General comments		

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)