



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13714
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of information and coordination innovation on relationship and firm performance: The case of small and medium enterprises in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) I would prefer more information about SMEs in Indonesia. 2) The Literature Review is not satisfactory, incomplete and too short. 3) The conclusions are incomplete, short, without adequate proof for author's claim. 4) There are no information for the profile of respondents and the questionnaire survey. 5) The statistical analysis was rather simplistic and is not motivated by theory. 6) It is not clear if any new insights or information was added to the academic literature by this research. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Macedonia, Greece