



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11933
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentials for Bio surfactant Enhanced Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil and Water – a Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The text is better written. I do not think however that even in this context is presented as a novelty. The authors included some negative biosurfactant effects but they not indicated the possibility, as already reported in the literature, the use of the biosurfactant instead of the contaminants as the source of carbon and energy for the biota. The last two paragraphs referred the advantages and disadvantages of bioremediation in my understanding are totally out of order. It should be included the advantages and disadvantages of using biosurfactant in bioremediation. The end does not make much sense because it provides definitions and not conclusions of the text that was presented.</p> <p>There was an improvement in the quality of the text but I think it still does not meet the purpose of a review. Can be even better exploited.</p> <p>A few corrections were made in the text that is in red.</p>	<p>Your observations have been noted.</p> <p>Conclusions have been written</p> <p>Done Thank you for the corrections</p>