



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11933
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentials for Bio surfactant Enhanced Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil and Water – a Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article has been well defined concepts and presented in specific books on the subject, so has no innovation, no amplification of knowledge. Some stretches are repeated and could be condensed to better understanding. The authors merely present a single work with the application of biosurfactant in bioremediation.</p> <p>A more effective search in the literature will surely reveal numerous publications in this field. Even many jobs currently are focused to the careful use of biosurfactants as often benefice its action not only has not been proven but also there is a negative action on the biota present in the system or in the elimination of contaminants on which it intends to operate.</p> <p>The approach of this review is already so widely known and adds nothing to the scientific community. The authors missed an opportunity to take stock of the positive and negative outcomes found throughout literature to better discussion and warning about the use of these compounds.</p>	<p>There is now amplification of knowledge</p> <p>We have included other work and applications of biosurfactants. Line 223-274</p> <p>More literatures have been searched and included. 43 references</p> <p>Negative effects of biosurfactants have been noted. Line 388-400</p> <p>We have included positive and negative outcomes of biosurfactants.</p> <p>Something has been added to the scientific community</p> <p>Done</p> <p>We now have a better discussion of the application of biosurfactants.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Authors should therefore writing a review article with the following features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- To condense some parts that are repeated ;- To make an effective literature review of studies of application of biosurfactant in different segments ;- To make a good argument and discussion, based on the results of this research, the success and failure of these applications.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		