



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13607
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Physiotherapy in the improvement of the perception of quality of life in patients with hemophilia.A systematicreview.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I think it was better to widen the limit of authors systematic review, as they conducted their review between October and November 2013, with a last 107 update in April 2014, as this time is so short the authors found a very limited number of publications. - It was better to involve the key words of bone density, joint mobility, muscle strength and physical fitness and/or exercise tolerance in authors review as all above mentioned variables along with quality of life are areas of defect for hemophilia patients. <p>According to Ithenticate test plagiarism is 8% there is an attachment for the test</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study is good and valuable even it needs to minor modifications listed above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	King Abdalaziz University, Saudi Arabia