



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13647
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of <i>Miraba</i> Soil Conservation Practices on Soil Properties and Crop Yield in the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper is interesting and well-described experience. But the text of the paper is too long and some graphics / tables can be better synthesized. The text is not written accurately are many spelling mistakes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I recommend summarizing the results and especially the graphs / tables and correcting writing paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rusu Teodor
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj, 3-5 Manastur Street, 400372, Cluj-Napoca, Romania