

Editorial Comment:

I went through the files. I rely on the comments by referees, the final manuscript is basically unreadable. It contains unacceptable many English grammatical and spelling errors. The manuscript should be read and corrected by a Native English speaker prior acceptance.

For example (i) works is [are] done (ii) Hatree Fok should read Hartree Fock (iii) the result[s] are (iv) As you see in ... [you should be replaced by 'we' or 'one'] (v) Looking at fig [who?] can show. Moreover, a statement like "We can neglect Table I and the BCC lattice because of its worse results" is scientifically unacceptable. Finally, the Word file has several drawbacks, missing blanks, or additional blanks in front of commata, different symbols used in equations and text, which I find very annoying.

Author's feedback:

We tried to correct the problems and now there are only 8 formulas in the manuscript that their existence are required to explain the conclusion.