



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13647
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of <i>Miraba</i> Soil Conservation Practices on Soil Properties and Crop Yield in the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper is interesting and well-described experience. But the text of the paper is too long and some graphics / tables can be better synthesized. The text is not written accurately are many spelling mistakes.	The paper has been shortened by synthesising some of the tables and figures Spelling mistakes have corrected accordingly
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I recommend summarizing the results and especially the graphs / tables and correcting writing paper.	The results have been summarised and mistakes have been corrected accordingly
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		