



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13647
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of <i>Miraba</i> Soil Conservation Practices on Soil Properties and Crop Yield in the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nice work, scientifically and technically detailed. Just minor corrections needed, including some grammar, words that are erroneously jointed and such like.	Thank you for these encouraging observations
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Title: It seems from the text that miraba were tested together with other SWC options, so use "selected" or "various" instead of "miraba".</p> <p>Names of authors and their affiliations: missing. Please mention them.</p> <p>Use of non-standard terminologies: At first mention, the non-standard terminologies such as "miraba" and "fanya-juu" should be briefly described.</p> <p>Other minor corrections are in the PDF file attached.</p>	<p>The word selected was used in the tittle instead of <i>miraba</i></p> <p>Name of authors and their affiliations have been mentioned</p> <p>Non-standard terminologies have been described accordingly</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a useful piece of work.	Thank you for this nice observation