



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13607
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Physiotherapy in the improvement of the perception of quality of life in patients with hemophilia.A systematicreview.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>It's not clear what level of hemophilia you are studying (severe, moderate or mild). The level of artropathy depends of the level of haemophilia. So, the severe haemophilia A prognostic and treatment evolution are worse than others.</p> <p>If there any ethical issue, please clarify.</p>	<p>Has been included in the abstract and in the text (criteria) the type of patients with hemophilia included in the review articles.</p> <p>We added the Disclosures section at the end of the text</p>