SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_14195
Title of the Manuscript:	Two Approaches for Solving Non-Linear Bi-level Programming problem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In order to validate the propopsed approach, the author compares them with other references (for example Example 2, reference [4], Examples 3-6, references [30-33]. However they are based on the final solution, I suggest adding comparison results for the algorithm performace, in term of number of iterations. In addition, since the final time can not be compared with other algorithms, please add a table showing the solution evolution over the time and the total simulation time for each example. (only example 1 and 2 provide some of this information).	
Minor REVISION comments	The paper has several typo mistakes, mainly lack of spaces, for example line 52, 83, 270, 172. Please revise them.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Universidad Nacional de Rio Cuarto, Argentina**