



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_14195
Title of the Manuscript:	Two Approaches for Solving Non-Linear Bi-level Programming problem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	In order to validate the propopsed approach, the author compares them with other references (for example Example 2, reference [4], Examples 3-6, references [30-33]. However they are based on the final solution, I suggest adding comparison results for the algorithm performace, in term of number of iterations. In addition, since the final time can not be compared with other algorithms, please add a table showing the solution evolution over the time and the total simulation time for each example. (only example 1 and 2 provide some of this information).	This information is not available in references. But we add them in all our computational at the Tables.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The paper has several typo mistakes, mainly lack of spaces, for example line 52, 83, 270, 172. Please revise them.	The necessary corrections are done. You can see them in the revised paper.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		