



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_14678
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical and Nutritional Evaluation of velvet tamarind (<i>Dialium guineense</i>) pulps

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Nevertheless, english text still needs a revision prior to publication (see e.g. “grammes” instead of “grams” for the text and ml insted of mL for the S.I. units, etc.). In section 2.9 Authors should cite the method used and then the appropriate references. ESD should be added and number of replicats indicated. The term “n.d.” (Section 3) should be avoided and the LOD given when describing the method used for determination. Why antinutrients are given in the same Table 3 are given as mg/g and %? Data should be homogeneously reported. In the Conclusions Authors report that “The data suggest that the sample is nutritionally good for children, adult and also may supply some nutrition deficiencies”, this statement should be supported by literature data or with appropriate comparison with daily RDA.</p>	<p>English has been improved upon, Grammes corrected to Grams and ml to mL as suggested, CITED CORRECTLY ONE REPLICATE DUE TO FUND</p> <p>n.d now AVOIDED/deleted SAME UNIT NOW IN REVISD VERSION I.E mg/g</p> <p>This statement is in the conclusion section, we don’t put reference in this section. Any defence or supporting literature is already in the discussion.</p>