



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">American Chemical Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_14678
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analytical and Nutritional Evaluation of velvet tamarind (<i>Dialium guineense</i>) pulps</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript reports a study on velvet tamarind pulp nutritional composition. The data reported (e.g. Abstract) should be homogeneous (see mg/g and %) and referred to a standard, for example to the RDA using homogeneous units. Methods used for analytical determination should be detailed and not repeating already established and reported method. Has any modification been apported to the method used to analyze the tamarind matrix ? Method used for Vitamin C analysis should be described. The results and discussions section. Table 1 and following Tables do not include or report different measure units (see Table 3) in the same Table! Comparison data would be better represented in a Table than in the text. Reading is difficult and comparison also. Authors state "ash composition can be regarded as index to measure the quality of food": please explain and give references. All data relative to comparison with other minerals vegetal sources should be reported as Tables and discussed in the text as overall values.</p> <p>The nutritional composition claimed in the title of the manuscript is not clear: only the mineral composition is discussed while nothing is reported on other nutrients (excluding Vitamin C) contained in the analyzed matrix.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>No modification and where there is modification it will shown in the text</p> <p>Method described in the text now</p> <p>Units now included</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Ok and done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done and vitamin c compared with other samples</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	English text needs a complete and careful revision.	Corrected