



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_13680
Title of the Manuscript:	AN OVERVIEW OF ARSENIC EXTRACTION AND SPECIATION TECHNIQUES IN SOIL AND WATER
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. This is an important article concerning the As removal/detection techniques. 2. The manuscript is well written 2. The length of the article is small compared to a normal review article. 3. Only one detection technique has been described in detail. 6. VOLTAMMETRIC METHODS 4. References are not upto date (only up to 2009 has been cited). No reference has been cited from 2010-2014. This may not be suitable for a review article to be accepted.	Thank you for your suggestions. We tried to increase its length and describe in more detail as Voltammetric methods. Reference is also modified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Other methods may be describe providing similar emphasis as VOLTAMMETRIC METHODS	We tried to incorporate
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Not suitable for publication as review article in its present form IF THERE IS ANY ETHICAL ISSUE PLAESE CLARIFY US.	We think now it is in suit able form.