SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Chemical Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_ACSj_14943**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determination and comparison of physico-chemical properties of home-made juices in Lesotho and commercial juice available in the local markets**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The materials and methods should be subdivided further as : 2.1 Materials and 2.2 Methods.** **2. Under methods, the analyses carried out must be distinctively separated e.g. 2.2.1 PH; 2.2.1 Total acidity etc** **3. Under Results and Discussion, the word determination should be removed from lines 98 and 118**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Awolu Olugbenga**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria**