



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_14943
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination and comparison of physico-chemical properties of home-made juices in Lesotho and commercial juice available in the local markets
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Generally, its subject is available for this journal.. In results, it is pointed out that the acidity of uices is due to present citric acid. Also, yotal soluble materials are mostly influenced by combined effects of stages of maturity and ripening conditions.	The issue of acidity was discussed in the script, it was only reported in the case where there is additional citric acid that was added to the samples during the juice production. It does not mean that the acidity emanates only from the citric acid. Besides this, the comments are not very clear what they want.
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, minor revision should be make -Market juice contains ingredient..how did author compare this with homemade apple juice?	This question is not clear since the whole exercise was the actually looking at comparing the contents of the home-made juice in comparison with the commercial juice.
Optional/General comments	This study was carried ut on comparative nutritional and chemical properties of home madde apple and mixed fruit juices with commercial apple uice provided from the ocal market. There are some typing errors in text. But it doesn't change methodology of manuscript. So, -line 17; Lesotho should be removed from keywords -Line 40; the end of sentence should be given reference -Line 57-58; in material section, the rate of mixed should be given separately. Line 163; fruit,and should be fruit, and (there are errors like this in text through) -Line 86; Physic should be physic IMPORTANT: All text and references must corrected according to Author Instructions.	Line 17: Lesotho removed Line 40: The reference has been inserted (See ref 7: Emaga TH, Robert C, Ronkart SN, Wathélet B, Paquot M. Dietary fibre component and pectin chemical features of peels during ripening in banana and plantain varieties. <i>Bioresource Technology</i> . 2008;99(10): 4346-4354 in the list highlighted in yellow. Line 58: The mixing ratio was 3:2:1 (apples, pear and banana) corrected appropriately in the text as highlighted from line Line 163: corrected, some other similar mistakes have also been attended to and highlighted in yellow across the manuscript. Line 86: I hope the reviewer wanted to say "physico" if so, this has been changed and highlighted appropriately The references have been formatted according to the Author Instructions and a copy of an article downloaded from the ACSj.